Re: Wallace Yard and Spur Lines Site - EPA Costs 🗎

Clifford Villa to: Wurtzler, Gail

Cc: GLHONEYM, RCBYLSMA, "Lawrence, Robert"

Bcc: Ed Moreen

Gail: I researched the cost questions you posed below and here is what I learned:

- 1. The charge of \$482.58 for Bankcard A8BK1000061 related to costs associated with the public meeting on the Wallace Yard EE/CA, held in Wallace on November 1, 2007. The charge was incurred by EPA on Nov. 14, 2007, the date that EPA paid this credit card bill. The individual EPA person with knowledge of the exact nature of the credit card charge is out of the office until next week. However, the charge is in line with a similar charge of \$499 (A8BK000061) incurred on the same date. The \$499 charge was for publication of the public meeting announcement in the Spokesman-Review, leading us to believe the \$482 charge was for publication of the same announcement in another local newspaper.
- 2. The "other direct costs" include bankcard charges and contractor costs. The largest of these costs relate to Parametrix Inc. under Contract No. 68-S7-0304, for technical assistance to EPA in overseeing the EE/CA process. Additional costs relate to "ASRC Aerospace" and "GRB Environmental," which are the immediate past and present contractors responsible for maintaining Superfund records within EPA Region 10. "Other direct costs" also include costs for publication of newspaper announcements, as discussed above, a court reporter for the public meeting (A8BK0000105), a room at the Wallace Inn for the public meeting (A8BK000061), and a set of aerial photos (A2BK0000182) in 2002.
- 3. EPA has no intent to attempt to recover costs related to participation of Natural Resource Trustees in the CD negotiations or other related activities. However, EPA retains the right to seek technical assistance from other agencies in order to perform its duties in overseeing work under the CD. Such costs, if any, may appear in future billing statements as incurred under Interagency Agreement (IAG), Cooperative Agreement, or other appropriate mechanisms.

Cliff Villa Assistant Regional Counsel U.S. EPA Region 10

"Wurtzler, Gail"

CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT COMMUNICATION

06/10/2009 03:58:38 PM

06/11/2009 03:27 PM



"Wurtzler, Gail" <Gail.Wurtzler@dgslaw.com> 06/10/2009 03:58 PM

To Clifford Villa/R10/USEPA/US@EPA

CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT COMMUNICATION

Cliff,

I have some questions about the costs in the Itemized Cost Summary you provided to Bob Lawrence. Specifically,

- 1. What was the reason for the \$482.58 described as Bankcard, A8BK10000061?
- 2. What was the reason for each of the costs described as "Other Direct Costs" in each fiscal

year? They all seem to have an IAG, SCA or Misc. No. but there is no explanation or identification of the item or service purchased.

Also, Union Pacific is concerned that it NOT be billed for any time or expenses related to the participation of the NR trustees in Consent Decree negotiations, remedial action design and the other activities at this site. Union Pacific fully resolved its liability for NRD in the Coeur d'Alene Basin Environment and, accordingly, should not be asked to bear any of the trustees' costs. I did not see any such costs in the Itemized Cost Summary, so we assume that EPA is in agreement with Union Pacific on this issue.

Regarding the BPRP costs, (b) (6)

So, Sara is working with Nick to set a call after June 15.

I look forward to your response to my questions on the Itemized Cost Summary. Thank you.

Gail Wurtzler
Davis Graham & Stubbs LLP
1550 Seventeenth Street
Suite 500
Denver, CO 80202
(303) 892-7405
gail.wurtzler@dgslaw.com